


Ark Builders

CCMC

Jesus Wasn't

Human???





Andrew Michael Denny

22h · 🌐



**Messiah had perfect human DNA.  
Anything that alters our DNA is anti-  
Messiah in nature.  
💉 = antichrist**




Anthony Dickinson, Kevin J. Stoll and 42 others


41 Comments 6 Shares



Like



Comment



Share



~~XXXXXXXXXX~~  
This is half true, Messiah didn't have our human DNA 🧬



Reply · 21h

[Redacted] I think we may hold different christological views

I am confident that Messiah possessed the same genes that Adam possessed and were protected throughout time, through the hybridization of the Watchers and Nephilim, and this is what made Yahshua perfect in lineage.

He was the second Adam because he filled the role as a perfect human in both breed and deed, just as Adam was supposed to do.

At the same time, Yah caused a virgin to be pregnant.

Nevertheless, he was human.

Like · Reply · 21h



**Andrew Michael Denny**

A brief christological breakdown.... [See More](#)



YOUTUBE.COM

Christology in Question

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 21h



**Andrew Michael Denny** I'm a teacher I know what the Word says about His composition.

He Body is from Heaven not the dust of the earth, to say He was merely a human is of the Antichrist spirit according to 1 John.

No man can redeem another man Psalm 49:7.

Reply · 21h



Andrew Michael Denny

[REDACTED] I respect your position.

I have also invested a considerable amount of time on this subject, including 2 books to dissolve the popular teachings among mainstream Christianity

We can agree to disagree

CRUSHING Conceptualism In Modern Christianity: Exposing the Devil's Agenda Against God's Design

[https://www.amazon.com/.../ref=cm\\_sw\\_r\\_cp\\_api\\_glt\\_fabc...](https://www.amazon.com/.../ref=cm_sw_r_cp_api_glt_fabc...)



AMAZON.COM

CRUSHING Conceptualism In Modern Christianity: Exposing the Devil's Agenda...



Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 21h



Andrew Michael Denny

Decoding Precepts of Oneness Theology: Reinvestigating the Incarnation Beyond Spirit and Flesh

[https://www.amazon.com/.../ref=cm\\_sw\\_r\\_cp\\_api\\_glt\\_fabc...](https://www.amazon.com/.../ref=cm_sw_r_cp_api_glt_fabc...)



AMAZON.COM

Decoding Precepts of Oneness Theology: Reinvestigating the Incarnation Beyond...



Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 21h

“I am a teacher and I know what the Word says about His composition. His Body is from Heaven, not the dust of the earth. To say He was merely human is of the Antichrist spirit according to 1 John. No man can redeem another man Psalm 49:7.”

A few moments later I see this...

**Noah had perfect human  
DNA 🧬, Yahusha had perfect  
HEAVENLY DNA 🧬 His flesh  
was not of human origins to  
say otherwise is of the spirit  
of Antimesiah.**

It definitely triggered something;  
i.e., Cognitive Dissonance

What I find so puzzling is how people can be so open-minded about a variety of different topics, such as Flat Earth, Nephilim, the Book of Enoch, Torah Observance, etc... But when you mention a view of Christology that is different from the Trinity or Modalism, people lose their minds!!

Cognitive Dissonance at its finest!

“I am a teacher,  
I know what the Word says”

I often run into people with this attitude who claim to be an authority on the subject of Godhead / Christology. But then they begin presenting their arguments, and it appears they have never really looked into this subject from different perspectives and are only parroting common proof texts, which is what this person did.



He did not address any points that I would expect someone to address if they were a teacher. Based on his initial reaction, it is apparent that he was unfamiliar with my position, which is unusual for someone who considers himself a “teacher.” Generally teachers who are seasoned in a certain line of study can acknowledge key points in another’s belief and focus on the basis. Instead, his reaction demonstrated the opposite.

Furthermore, one thing I’ve tried to maintain is a teachable attitude and never present myself as an authority on a subject. That is dangerous, especially when you are not familiar with other views. A true

teacher will be willing to listen to ideas that are different than their existing beliefs. They will test the spirits. But when I presented scriptures that completely contradicted what he was saying, he did not address any of them. Instead, he displayed cognitive dissonance and blocked me.



Andrew Michael Denny

Acts 2:22 Ye men of Israel, hear these words;  
Jesus of Nazareth, 🙌 a man approved of God  
🙌 among you by miracles and wonders and  
signs, which God did by him in the midst of  
you, as ye yourselves also know:

Like Reply



Andrew Michael Denny

1 Timothy 2:5 KJV  
[5] For there is one God, and one mediator  
between God and men, 🙌 the MAN Christ  
Jesus 🙌



Andrew Michael Denny  
Deuteronomy 18:17-18

And YHWH said unto me, ..."I will raise them up a Prophet 🙌 from among their brethren, 🙌 like unto thee, and will put my words in his mouth; and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him."

YHWH said messiah would be of human descent

Like Reply



Andrew Michael Denny  
1 Corinthians 15:21-22

[21] For since by man came death, 🙌 by man came also 🙌 the resurrection of the dead. [22] For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.

“His Body is from Heaven,  
not the dust of the earth.”

The first man was of the dust of the earth; the second man is of heaven. —I Corinthians 15:47

This is a common argument and misuse of a proof text taken out of context. In fact, the context is about our resurrected bodies, and the comparison was between our earthly bodies made of dust, versus our future, glorified bodies, which Christ has already received.

Remember, this passage was written after Christ resurrected and ascended—AFTER he received his heavenly body.

(Read 1<sup>st</sup> Corinthians 15) If you look in many bibles, this passage will have a header that reads, “The Resurrection of the Dead.”

## The Resurrection of the Dead

**12** But if it is preached that Christ has been raised from the dead, how can some of you say that there is no resurrection of the dead? **13** If there is no resurrection of the dead, then not even Christ has been raised. **14** And if Christ has not been raised, our preaching is useless and so is your faith. **15** More than that, we are then found to be false witnesses about God, for we have testified about God that he raised Christ from the dead. But he did not raise him if in fact the dead are not raised. **16** For if the dead are not raised, then Christ has not been raised either. **17** And if Christ has not been raised, your faith is futile; you are still in your sins. **18** Then those also who have fallen asleep in Christ are lost. **19** If only for this life we have hope in Christ, we are of all people most to be pitied.

**20** But Christ has indeed been raised from the dead, the firstfruits of those who have fallen asleep. **21** For since death came through a man, the resurrection of the dead comes also through a man. **22** For as in Adam all die, so in Christ all will be made alive. **23** But each in turn: Christ, the firstfruits; then, when he comes, those who belong to him. **24** Then the end will come, when he hands over the kingdom to God the Father after he has destroyed all dominion, authority and power. **25** For he must reign until he has put all his enemies under his feet. **26** The last enemy to be destroyed is death. **27** For he "has put everything under his feet."<sup>[c]</sup> Now when it says that "everything" has been put under him, it is clear that this does not include God himself, who put everything under Christ. **28** When he has done this, then the Son himself will be made subject to him who put everything under him, so that God may be all in all.

“To say He was merely human is of the Antichrist spirit according to 1 John.”

A common strategy of people who still retain aspects of Trinitarianism or Modalism as part of their Christology is to strawman the opponent by using key buzz-words, such as “merely” or “just” and putting those words in other peoples’ mouths.

For instance, I have never said that Yahshua is “merely” a human. That gives a negative impression that I am saying Jesus was not special for any reason. It is a defense mechanism and a way to make the other person look bad.



The same thing happened a few years ago when I first released CCMC. A person from the Torah community who still maintains Trinitarianism began to blast me all over FB and saying that I deny the Messiah, which is bearing false witness.

Yahshua is not “merely” a man. He is not an average human. But he is human nonetheless.

Let’s see what John actually says in his epistle about the antichrist spirit.

1.) Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world.

2.) Hereby know ye the Spirit of God: Every spirit that confess that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is of God:

3.) And every spirit that confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh is not of God: and this is that spirit of antichrist, whereof ye have heard that it should come; and even now already is it in the world.—I John 4:1-3

His interpretation of this is that whoever does not confess that Jesus possessed non-human DNA is antichrist.

**Noah had perfect human DNA 🧬, Yahusha had perfect HEAVENLY DNA 🧬 His flesh was not of human origins to say otherwise is of the spirit of Antimesiah.**

We are going to look at the common phraseology during that time and determine what it means to “come in the flesh.” But first, let us take a look at the last clause in his statement.

“No man can redeem  
another man Psalm 49:7”

Let us look at Psalm 49:7 to see what is actually written.

“those who trust in their wealth and  
boast of the abundance of their riches?  
Truly no man can ransom another, or  
give to God the price of his life,”

—Psalm 49:6-7

The context makes it clear that man cannot redeem another man on his own terms. This passage has nothing to do with the cross of Calvary or the salvation of our souls. Indeed, the entire purpose of Christ's arrival was to lay down his life for our sins. And it was on the Father's terms. YHWH can appoint a man to redeem mankind if He chooses, because it is HIS plan.

In fact, we read that salvation HAS TO come by a MAN and not by GOD. Why?? Because it was MAN who ruined our perfect living conditions in the garden.

“For as by a man came death, by a man has come also the resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, so also in Christ shall all be made alive.”

—I Corinthians 15:21-22

12.) Therefore, just as sin came into the world through one man, and death through sin, and so death spread to all men because all sinned ...

14.) Yet death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over those whose sinning was not like the transgression of Adam, who was a type of the one who was to come.

15.) But the free gift is not like the trespass. For if many died through one man's trespass, much more have the grace of God and the free gift by the grace of that one man Jesus Christ abounded for many.—Romans 5:12-15

Scripture is abundantly clear that redemption had to come through a man, because man is the reason our divine status was compromised to begin with. We were

made in the image of Yah (Gen 1:26-27), but that image was compromised when Adam and Eve sinned.

So contrary to the notion that Jesus/Yahshua was not a human or a man, Scripture is abundantly clear that he was.

Peter said: “Jesus of Nazareth, a MAN approved of God.” —Acts 2:22

---



Paul wrote: “For there is one God, and one Mediator between God and men, the MAN Christ Jesus.” — I Timothy 2:5

---

YHWH declared to Moses: “I will raise up for them a Prophet like you from among your brethren. And I will put my words in his mouth, and he shall speak to them all that I command him.”

—Deuteronomy 18:18

---

The writer of Hebrews declared: “For as much then as children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same. ... For verily he took NOT on the nature of angels but took on the SEED of ABRAHAM. Wherefore, it behooved him to be made like unto his brethren.”

—Hebrews 2:14, 16-17

---

YHWH declared to King David: I will set up thy seed AFTER THEE, which shall proceed out of THY BOWELS, and I will establish his kingdom.”

—II Samuel 7:12-14

---

Peter declared: “Therefore, being a Prophet, and knowing that God had sworn an oath to him [David], that of the fruit of his loins, according to the

flesh, he would raise up Christ to sit on  
his throne.” —Acts 2:30

---

Paul wrote: “Concerning His Son Jesus  
Christ, which was made of the seed of  
David according to the flesh, and  
declared to be the Son of God with  
power, according to the spirit of  
holiness by the resurrection from the  
dead:” —Romans 1:3-4

---

Paul wrote: But when the fullness of time had come, God sent forth His Son, born of a woman, born under the law.”

—Galatians 4:4

---

First Mention: And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed ...

—Genesis 3:15

## So was Christ a MAN ???

Scripture is abundantly clear that he was indeed a man or a human.


Thus, when John declared those who deny Christ's coming in the flesh to be the spirit of antichrist, he was actually talking about those who deny that Christ was an authentic human.

Consequently, those who insist that Christ was not a human are actually the ones who are of the antichrist spirit. This would explain the cognitive dissonance or

spiritual tension that occurs in those who still retain Trinitarianism, because their spirit is clashing with those who expose their anti-biblical views.

Resources:

CRUSHING Conceptualism  
in Modern Christianity:  
*Exposing the Devil's Agenda  
Against God's Design*







# CRUSHING Conceptualism In Modern Christianity

Exposing the Devil's Agenda Against God's Design

ANDREW MICHAEL DENNY



Ark Builders CCMC